

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING | DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|--------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/579,214      | 11/24/ | 2008       | Cliff Aaby           | FSP0347             | 6572             |  |
| 88095<br>ARRIS  | 7590   | 05/13/2010 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| 3871 Lakefie    |        |            | KAY, MARY ANNE       |                     |                  |  |
| Suwance, GA     | 30024  |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                 |        |            |                      | 2426                |                  |  |
|                 |        |            |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                 |        |            |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                 |        |            |                      | 05/13/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

mirho@fspllc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/579,214      | AABY ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| MARY ANNE KAY   | 2426         |  |  |

|   | WART ANNE KAT   | 2426   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I   | ).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data<br>have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t  | iled within two months                                     | s of the date of                         |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     | appeal. Since                            |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> </ol>   |   |  | cause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  |   | E below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | ter form for appeal by materially rec   | lucing or simplifying ti                                   | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reje  | cted claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor   | mpliant Amendment (I                                       | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>   |   | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-10 and 12-16.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,0-10 and 12-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Joseph P. Hirl/  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 10, 2010  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of Item 11: Incorporating a dependent claim into an independent claim affects all of claims dependent on the amended independent claim which necessitated a revised analysis and a new search.